

Trump Tariff Shock and Its Implications for India's Livestock Sector: A Contemporary Perspective

Amit Sharma, Shubham Sharma, Shubham Yadav

PhD Scholar, Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education Research, Agra Road, Jaipur-302031, Rajasthan, India.

*Corresponding Author's email: amitkanota@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19669023>

Keywords: Trump Terrif; Cultural; Feeding habits; Economy; Farmers;

International trade has increasingly become an extension of geopolitics rather than a neutral economic mechanism. The recent announcement by former U.S. President Donald Trump proposing punitive tariffs of up to 500% on Indian imports has once again brought this reality into sharp focus (Reuters 2026). While the stated rationale for such tariffs is linked to strategic and geopolitical considerations, their potential consequences for developing economies like India are far-reaching, particularly for agriculture and livestock sectors that sustain millions of livelihoods.

For India, the renewed tariff rhetoric is not merely a diplomatic concern. It raises fundamental questions about food systems, rural resilience, cultural ethics, and the vulnerability of smallholder-based livestock production to external economic shocks. This article provides a contemporary assessment of how renewed protectionist trade measures could influence India's livestock sector and why this sector requires special consideration in global trade negotiations.

Global Trade Protectionism and the Changing Nature of Tariffs

Trade liberalization over the past three decades has been accompanied by a parallel rise in protectionist instruments, especially tariffs imposed under strategic or national security justifications. Earlier Trump-era trade measures, including withdrawal of preferential access and imposition of higher duties on steel, aluminum, and selected agricultural products, disrupted global supply chains and strained bilateral trade relationships (WTO 2025).

The current escalation, involving tariff levels as high as 500%, represents a significant departure from conventional trade dispute mechanisms. Unlike earlier measures rooted primarily in trade imbalance concerns, the present approach reflects the increasing use of tariffs as geopolitical tools (Narayanan 2025; ICRIER 2025). For export-oriented sectors in India, such

uncertainty complicates planning, investment, and market diversification.

Livestock-related trade, though smaller in absolute value compared to manufacturing exports, is particularly sensitive due to stringent sanitary, ethical, and regulatory requirements. Even indirect tariff pressures can influence feed imports, processing inputs, and value-added livestock products, thereby affecting domestic production systems (USDA 2024).

Structure and Significance of India's Livestock Sector

India's livestock sector occupies a unique position within its agricultural economy. Unlike capital-intensive systems prevalent in developed countries, livestock production in India is predominantly smallholder-driven. Over 100 million people depend directly on animal husbandry for income, with women playing a central role in daily management, feeding, and milk handling (Government of India 2024).

Livestock serves multiple functions beyond income generation. It provides nutritional security through milk, meat, and eggs; acts as a financial buffer during crop failures; and contributes organic manure for sustainable crop production. Such multifunctionality makes livestock a cornerstone of rural resilience rather than merely a commercial enterprise (FAO 2024; Birthal et al. 2024).

Disruptions arising from volatile international trade policies can therefore have cascading effects. Reduced export opportunities, fluctuating input prices, or exposure to subsidized imports may translate directly into household-level income instability rather than sectoral adjustments absorbed by large corporations.

Cultural and Ethical Dimensions of Livestock Trade

One of the defining features of India's livestock sector is its deep entanglement with cultural and ethical values. Indigenous cattle, in particular, hold religious and social significance across large sections of Indian society. Consumption patterns are shaped not only by price and availability but also by perceptions of purity, animal welfare, and ethical production practices (Singh and Chauhan 2024).

A key point of divergence in India–US livestock trade discussions relates to feeding practices. Industrial livestock systems in the United States legally permit the use of animal by-products in feed formulations to enhance growth efficiency (USDA 2024). While acceptable in many Western markets, such practices conflict with Indian consumer preferences, especially among communities that insist on vegetarian feed for dairy animals.

These ethical differences function as non-tariff barriers but are rooted in societal norms rather than regulatory protectionism. Attempts to dilute such standards under trade pressure risk triggering widespread consumer resistance and social backlash, making market access politically and culturally untenable (FAO and WOA 2024).

Economic Risks: Market Distortion and Smallholder Vulnerability

Beyond ethical considerations, economic risks associated with aggressive trade liberalization under tariff pressure warrant careful examination. Livestock products from developed economies benefit from significant government support, advanced technology, and economies of scale, allowing them to be priced competitively in international markets (OECD 2024).

If Indian markets were exposed to such products without adequate safeguards, domestic prices—particularly for milk and dairy products could decline sharply. India's dairy sector, which is globally recognized for its cooperative-based model, relies on stable farm-gate prices to sustain millions of small producers owning two to five animals (Kumar et al. 2024).

Price depression would disproportionately affect marginal farmers and women-led households, increasing rural distress and potentially reversing gains made in poverty reduction and nutritional security. Such outcomes would undermine India's long-standing objective of food sovereignty and self-reliance in animal-based nutrition (BIRTHAL et al. 2024).

Policy Responses and Strategic Considerations

India's cautious approach to livestock trade liberalization reflects an understanding that agricultural

trade cannot be evaluated solely through the lens of export earnings. Policymakers have consistently emphasized the need to balance global integration with domestic stability, particularly in sectors that support vulnerable populations (Economic Survey of India 2025). Resistance to opening sensitive livestock markets should therefore be interpreted not as inward-looking protectionism but as strategic risk management. Maintaining regulatory autonomy allows India to protect farmer livelihoods, preserve culturally acceptable food systems, and ensure long-term sustainability of smallholder production models (Narayanan 2025).

The renewed tariff threat underscores the importance of diversifying export markets, strengthening domestic value chains, and investing in livestock productivity without compromising ethical standards.

Broader Implications for Global Livestock Trade

The present episode also raises broader questions for global livestock trade governance. As tariffs increasingly reflect geopolitical alignments, developing countries face heightened exposure to external shocks over which they have limited control. This reality calls for renewed emphasis on multilateral trade frameworks, transparency, and respect for cultural diversity in food systems (WTO 2025). For livestock sectors worldwide, resilience will depend not only on productivity gains but also on policy coherence that integrates trade, culture, nutrition, and rural development objectives.

Conclusion

The renewed Trump tariff rhetoric serves as a timely reminder that global trade is no longer governed by economics alone. For India, the implications of such measures extend deep into the rural economy, affecting livelihoods, food ethics, and social stability. India's livestock sector stands as both a strength and a vulnerability highly inclusive, culturally embedded, and essential for rural resilience, yet sensitive to external policy shocks. Protecting this sector requires trade strategies grounded in prudence, cultural awareness, and long-term developmental priorities.

In this context, safeguarding livestock systems is not merely an economic decision but a societal imperative. The challenge ahead lies in engaging with global markets while ensuring that trade does not erode the foundations of livelihood security and ethical food systems that sustain millions.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, internally peer-reviewed.

References

- BIRTHAL PS, NEGI DS, JHA AK (2024) Livestock sector resilience in India under changing global trade regimes. *Indian J Agric Econ* 79(2):145–158
- Economic Survey of India (2025) Agriculture and allied sectors. Ministry of Finance, Government of India, New Delhi

-
- FAO (2024) Livestock, livelihoods and trade in developing economies under global market shocks. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- FAO, World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH) (2024) Feed safety, ethics and cultural acceptability in livestock production systems. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper, Rome
- Government of India (2024) Basic animal husbandry statistics 2024. Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, New Delhi
- ICRIER (2025) Impact of renewed US tariff threats on India's agricultural and livestock exports. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations, New Delhi
- Kumar A, Staal SJ, Singh DK (2024) Smallholder dairy systems and market vulnerability in India: emerging trade challenges. *Agric Econ Res Rev* 37(1):1–14
- Narayanan S (2025) India–US trade relations in an era of punitive tariffs: implications for agriculture and livestock. *Econ Polit Wkly* 60(6):28–32
- OECD (2024) Agricultural policy monitoring and evaluation 2024. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris
- Reuters (2026) India and US hold trade talks amid renewed tariff threats linked to geopolitical tensions. *Reuters*, January 2026
- Singh RB, Chauhan AK (2024) Cultural ethics, religion and livestock production systems in India. *J Rural Dev* 43(1):55–69
- USDA (2024) Livestock and dairy production practices in the United States: implications for international trade. United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Washington DC.
- World Trade Organization (WTO) (2025) Trade policy review: India. WTO Secretariat, Geneva.